

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

	Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.g	gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,173	07/08/2003	Guy Dickes		6464
75	03/02/2005		EXAM	INER
GUY DICKES 6718 CHOKEBERRY RD. BALTIMORE, MD 21209		MACK, COREY D  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/614,173	DICKES, GUY				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Corey D. Mack	2855				
ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
July 2004.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 July 2004</u> .  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
awn from consideration.					
a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	-				
nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Application  Ints have been received.	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Paper No(s)  5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				
	Examiner Corey D. Mack  ppears on the cover sheet with  LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of with apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT and cause the application to become ABA inig date of this communication, even if the except for formal matter of the				

Application/Control Number: 10/614,173 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Step 1a, Step 1b, Step 1c, Step 4a, or Step 4b. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.

Art Unit: 2855

(d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact

discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

#### Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

Or alternatively, <u>Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix</u>": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

Page 4

- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
  - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
  - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37

    CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

Art Unit: 2855

(i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).

Page 5

- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) <u>Sequence Listing.</u> See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

### Claim Objections

3. Claims 10-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims do not comply with current USPTO form. Particularly, the dependent claims 12, 13, 15 and 16 fail to provide an appropriate introductory phrase indicating from which claim they depend. For the purposes of this examination, it will be assumed that each such claim depends from the preceding independent claim. Also, all claims fail to provide appropriate punctuation.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2855

5. Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The subject matter shown in Drawings 1-7 is not described in sufficient detail outlining the interrelation of parts and mode of operation that demonstrate applicants possession of the claimed invention. Appropriate correction is required.

Page 6

6. Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claimed subject matter of providing volumetric measurement according to Boyle's law has not been adequately described in the specification such that it enables one of ordinary skill in the art to make and/or use the invention. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 10-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamen (US 4,976,162).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,173 Page 7

Art Unit: 2855

A. With respect to Claim 10, Kamen discloses a device for measuring the volume of a piping system comprising a remotely located regulated pressure J, K of control gas (Reservoir) in order to provide the volumetric measurement according to Boyle's Law (column 3, line 64 – column 5, line 6).

- B. With respect to Claim 11, Kamen discloses that the measurement gas is air (column 3, lines 44-49).
- C. With respect to Claim 12, Kamen discloses that the regulated pressure of the gas may be varied to adjust for varying environmental and physical conditions (column 4, lines 17-38); and a remotely located flow controlling device (controller) to maintain the accuracy of the gas through the gas mass flow sensor (column 3, line 64 column 5, line 6).
- D. With respect to Claim 14, Kamen discloses a vacuum pump to remove the air from the piping system (column 4, lines 16-38).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen (US 4,976,162).
- A. With respect to Claim 15, Kamen (US 4,976,162) discloses the claimed invention, except he does not explicitly disclose using partial pressure or vacuum. It is well-known by those of ordinary skill in the art of flow/volume measurement to utilize partial pressure or vacuum in

Art Unit: 2855

order to manipulate flow characteristics. (See MPEP § 2144.03). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include in Kamen (US 4,976,162) the use of partial pressure or vacuum to manipulate flow characteristics.

- 11. Claims 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen (US 4,976,162) in view of Parker (US 4,474,061).
- A. With respect to Claims 13 and 16, Kamen discloses the claimed invention, including that the device may be fixed or variable; a remotely located electronic gas mass flow sensor (microprocessor) for providing gas volume measurement into the piping system in order to measure the volume of the system (column 2, lines 65-68; column 10, lines 57-67). However, Kamen does not explicitly disclose a remotely located digital readout meter for providing direct volume measurement of the system. Parker discloses an apparatus for determining the volume within an air tight container 10 using electronic gas mass flow technology, including a digital readout meter 24 that displays the volume measurement of the system with increased accuracy (column 2, lines 33-68; column 4, lines 8-44). Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include in Kamen (US 4,976,162) a digital readout meter in order to display an accurate system volume measurement.

# Response to Arguments

12. Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,173 Page 9

Art Unit: 2855

13. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

14. Applicant's arguments filed 13 July 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is advised that his pending application may possibly contain some patentable subject matter. However, the specification, drawings and claims as currently drafted fail to adequately outline such subject matter. Applicant is advised that he should strongly consider seeking counsel from a licensed patent practitioner to pursue any further prosecution of this application.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corey D. Mack whose telephone number is (571) 272-2181. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-4:30.

Page 10

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Corey D. Mack, Esq. Patent Examiner Art Unit 2855

February 15, 2005